### Invariants of structures

Charlotte Aten

University of Denver

2022 September 23

↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 ↓
 <lp>↓
 ↓
 <

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

### Introduction

Background story: Bourbaki's structures

▲□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶</li>

- Thesis results
- Isomorphism invariant polynomials

In writing the textbook series les Éléments de mathématique, Bourbaki had sought to lay out in the first text of the series, Theory of Sets a systematic description of mathematical structures as they would appear throughout the rest of the series.

- Basically, they said that a *structure* was a set, say A, equipped with an indexed family {f<sub>i</sub>}<sub>i∈1</sub> of *relations* f<sub>i</sub> where each f<sub>i</sub> was a subset of a set which could be constructed from A by taking Cartesian products and powersets finitely many times.
- For example, a relation on A might be a subset of

 $A \times Sb(Sb(A) \times A^{57}) \times Sb(Sb(Sb(A))).$ 

4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 3

- Bourbaki defined what we would now call morphisms of these structures and proved several results about them, all of which we would now consider to belong to category theory.
- Once Eilenberg and Mac Lane had established category theory Grothendieck and then Cartier were asked to produce a category theory component for the *Éléments*, although if either did their contribution never made it into the texts.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Discussions in «La Tribu» during the 1950s seem to indicate that Bourbaki felt much of the *Éléments* would have to be rewritten in order to accommodate the new notions from category theory.
- It appeared to be difficult to synthesize the structural and categorical viewpoints together, so the consensus became that this task was not worth the effort.

#### Thesis results

- In my thesis I presented one possible categorification of Bourbaki's concept of structure.
- The main result in this case is a generalization of a result of Hilbert on symmetric polynomials to the setting of finite structures.
- This generalization has the perhaps surprising implication that any first-order property of a finite structure A can be checked by counting the number of small substructures B → A, where «small» is a function of the logical complexity of the first-order property.

#### Thesis results

- As Bourbaki imagined, the setup for this is a little involved and is relegated to an appendix.
- That appendix also contains a Yoneda-style embedding theorem which shows that categories of structures built from a set A may always be viewed as having basic relations of the form A<sup>n</sup> as in model theory.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

- A finite structure is a pair A := (A, {f<sub>i</sub>}<sub>i∈I</sub>) where A is a finite set and the f<sub>i</sub> form an *I*-indexed sequence of relations
   f<sub>i</sub> ⊂ A<sup>ρ(i)</sup> where the function ρ: I → N is the signature of A.
- We denote by Struct<sup>ρ</sup> the evident category and by Struct<sup>ρ</sup><sub>A</sub> the collection of all structures of the same signature on the set A, which we call a kinship class.
- The class Struct<sup>ρ</sup> of all structures with signature ρ is likewise called a *similarity class*.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

#### Definition (Substructure)

Given a structure **A** of signature  $\rho$  we refer to a subobject of **A** in **Struct**<sup> $\rho$ </sup> as a *substructure* of **A**.

4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶

うくで

#### Definition (Finite signature)

We say that a signature  $\rho: \mathscr{I} \to \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set})$  is *finite* when  $\mathscr{I}$  has finitely many objects and finitely many morphisms and for each  $N \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{I})$  and each finite set A we have that  $\rho_A(N)$  is finite.

#### Definition (Finite kinship class)

When  $\rho$  is a finite signature and A is a finite set we say that Struct<sup> $\rho$ </sup><sub>A</sub> is a *finite kinship class*.

500

- Given a set of variables X the symmetric group Σ<sub>X</sub> of permutations of X acts on the corresponding polynomial algebra R[X] for some unital commutative ring R.
- The polynomials invariant under this action are the symmetric polynomials, which themselves form an R-algebra.
- A classical result of Hilbert is that certain very simple elementary symmetric polynomials generate this algebra of all symmetric polynomials.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

#### Definition (Monomial $y_A$ )

Given a finite signature  $\rho$  on an index category  $\mathscr{I}$ , a finite set A, and a structure  $\mathbf{A} := (A, F) \in \text{Struct}_{A}^{\rho}$  we define

$$y_{\mathbf{A}} := \prod_{N \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathscr{I})} \prod_{a \in F(N)} x_{N,a}.$$

#### Definition $((\rho, A)$ polynomial algebra)

Given a commutative ring **R**, a finite signature  $\rho$ , and a finite set A we define the  $(\rho, A)$  polynomial algebra over **R** to be the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{R}[X_A^{\rho}]$  which is generated by  $Y_A^{\rho}$ . We denote this algebra by  $\mathbf{Pol}_A^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$  and its universe by  $\mathrm{Pol}_A^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$ .

#### Definition (Action v)

We define a group action  $v: \Sigma_A \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}[X_A^{\rho}])$  by setting  $(v(\sigma))(x_{N,a}) := x_{N,(\rho_{\sigma}(N))(a)}$  and extending.

#### Definition (Symmetric polynomial)

A polynomial  $p \in \operatorname{Pol}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$  is called *symmetric* when for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  we have that  $(v(\sigma))(p) = p$ .

I ▲ □ ▲ □ ▲ □ ▲

Definition (Action  $\zeta$ )

We define a group action  $\zeta: \Sigma_A \to \Sigma_{\operatorname{Struct}_A^{\rho}}$  by

 $(\zeta(\sigma))(A,F) \coloneqq (A,\rho_{\sigma} \circ F).$ 

▲□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶</li>

Definition (Isomorphism classes of structures)

We define

$$\mathsf{IsoStr}^{
ho}_{\mathcal{A}} \coloneqq \left\{ \, \mathsf{Orb}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{A}) \; \middle| \; \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{Struct}^{
ho}_{\mathcal{A}} \, \right\}.$$

#### Definition (Elementary symmetric polynomial)

Given a finite signature  $\rho$ , a finite set A, and an isomorphism class  $\psi \in IsoStr_A^{\rho}$  we define the *elementary symmetric polynomial* of  $\psi$  to be

$$s_\psi \coloneqq \sum_{\mathbf{A} \in \psi} y_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Sac

The elementary symmetric polynomials are symmetric polynomials.

#### Theorem (A. 2022)

Given a polynomial  $f \in SymPol_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$  of degree d there exists a polynomial  $g \in R[Z_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}]$  of weight at most d such that  $f = g|_{Z_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho} = S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}}$ .

- The proof is inductive and follows a proof of Hilbert's result.
- We first show that monomials factor as

$$\prod_{i=1}^k y_{\mathbf{A}_i} = y_{\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_i} \mu$$

4 □ ▶ 4 同 ▶ 4 Ξ ▶ 4 Ξ ▶

Sac

where  $\mu \in \mathsf{Pol}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}$ .

• We then induct on the size of the universe A.

Supposing we have the result for a universe
 B = {a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n-1</sub>} and we want to show it for
 A = {a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub>} we define

$$A_n \coloneqq \bigcup_{N \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathscr{I})} \{ x_{N,a} \mid a \in \rho_A(N) \setminus \mathsf{Im}(\rho_\iota(N)) \}$$

to be the collection of variables in  $X_A^{\rho}$  depending on  $a_n$ . Since

$$f|_{A_n=0}\in \mathsf{SymPol}^
ho_B(\mathbf{R})$$

there exists some  $g_1 \in R[Z_B^{\rho}]$  of weight at most d such that  $f|_{A_n=0}=g_1|_{Z_B^{\rho}=S_B^{\rho}}$ .

4 □ ▶ 4 同 ▶ 4 Ξ ▶ 4 Ξ ▶

We conclude by arguing that replacing the monomials appearing in this g<sub>1</sub> with the corresponding monomials over A yields a new polynomial, which we abusively also call g<sub>1</sub>, such that

$$f = (g_1 + g_2)|_{Z^{
ho}_{A} = S^{
ho}_{A}}$$

where the additional term  $g_2$  is also a symmetric polynomial.

4 □ ▶ 4 同 ▶ 4 Ξ ▶ 4 Ξ ▶

# Thank you!

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶